



SCAQMD AB 617 Implementation

EJAG

JANUARY 26, 2018

Key Components of AB 617

2

Monitoring

- Identification of Communities
- Statewide Monitoring Plan
- District and Community operated networks
- Statewide data display

Community Emission Reduction Plans

- Identification of Communities
- Statewide Strategy
- District Community Emission Reduction Plans
- State and District emission reduction strategies

Best Available Retrofit Control Technology

- Development of Statewide BACT/BARCT Clearinghouse
- Develop mechanisms to input new determinations
- Implement BARCT

Emissions Reporting

- Uniform Statewide Reporting
- Statewide Pollution Mapping Tool

Timing

Monitoring

- Monitoring Plan by October **2018**
- Deploy networks in identified communities by July **2019**
- updated January every year thereafter

Community Emission Reduction Plans

- Identification of Communities by October **2018**
- Statewide Strategy by October **2018**
- District Community Emission Reduction Plans by October **2019**
- updated every 5 years

Best Available Retrofit Control Technology

- Development of Statewide BACT/BARCT Clearinghouse
- District schedule for BARCT by end of **2018**
- Implement BARCT by end of **2023**

Emissions Reporting

- No timelines in statute, but anticipated actions by **2019**

Key Implementation Issues for SCAQMD

▶ Funding

- ▶ \$27 million statewide for AB617 Implementation (\$10.7 million for SCAQMD)
- ▶ \$250 million+ statewide for mobile source emission reduction (\$107.5 million for SCAQMD, plus other competitive grant opportunities)

▶ Staffing

- ▶ Community ID process and outreach
- ▶ Monitoring
- ▶ Community Emission Reduction Plans
- ▶ BARCT rules and implementation
- ▶ Emission Inventory, BARCT, and BACT work with CARB

▶ Timing and Deadlines

- ▶ Very challenging in every case

Community Identification

- ▶ Process and criteria for identifying communities is actively being developed
- ▶ SCAQMD recommended a nomination process for community identification, CARB is working on procedures
 - ▶ CARB would establish overall criteria and process
 - ▶ Air Districts and others can submit nominations
 - ▶ Local outreach and public process by Air Districts
 - ▶ Utilize existing public data sets
 - ▶ CalEnviroScreen (Air pollution-related or other factors?)
 - ▶ Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Studies (MATES)
 - ▶ Criteria pollutant and air toxics emissions reporting
 - ▶ Existing Health Risk Assessments (Mobile and Stationary)
- ▶ Comprehensive list with prioritizations

Monitoring

- ▶ Development of Statewide Monitoring Plan
 - ▶ Review of current air monitoring technologies
 - ▶ Review of existing community monitoring deployments
 - ▶ Recommendations for additional monitoring
 - ▶ Utilization of low-cost sensor technology
 - ▶ Sensor evaluation (AQ-SPEC)
 - ▶ PM measurements feasible, but does not cover most pollutants of concern
- ▶ Community Air Monitoring
 - ▶ Real-time continuous monitoring preferred when feasible
 - ▶ Community partnerships to conduct monitoring
 - ▶ Use of a statewide data display system to provide monitoring data to public
 - ▶ Leverage state and local resources where possible
 - ▶ Outreach to public needed to ensure proper communication and interpretation of monitoring data
 - ▶ QA/QC of monitored data is critical

Community Emission Reduction Plans

- ▶ Statewide guidelines to be developed
- ▶ Achieving emissions real and quantifiable emissions reductions in communities
 - ▶ Transparent process to solicit input from communities and facilities is critical to success
 - ▶ Statewide guidance needs to be clear and provide accountability, but allow flexibility to meet individual community needs
 - ▶ Need to quantify expected future emissions and exposure reductions due to existing regulations and programs
 - ▶ Additional quantification of emissions at some facilities needed (fugitive emissions, unpermitted sources, etc.)
 - ▶ Monitoring might uncover previously unidentified emission sources
 - ▶ Identification of emission reduction measures (community-based AQMP)
 - ▶ How are targets and timelines for emissions reductions established?

Best Available Retrofit Control Technology (BARCT)

- ▶ Each air district develops its own BACT/BARCT determinations
 - ▶ Different air districts set different BARCT levels based on policy considerations, eg. severity of air quality problems, cost-effectiveness, uniqueness of sources, proximity to communities
 - ▶ Database should highlight and acknowledge differences in BARCT determinations across air districts
- ▶ Consolidation of each air district's existing determinations into a central database
 - ▶ CARB's goal is to have the database ready by January 1, 2018
 - ▶ Database should be easy to use, searchable, and downloadable
 - ▶ Include cost-effectiveness thresholds and other factors that were included in analysis
- ▶ Develop a mechanism for future determinations
- ▶ SCAQMD continues with expedited RECLAIM sunset and corresponding BARCT rules

Emissions Reporting

- ▶ Applicable facilities must report emissions to a statewide, uniform system for criteria and toxics on annual basis
 - ▶ SCAQMD already requires annual reporting for criteria pollutants and a subset of air toxics
 - ▶ No current standardized method of emissions reporting across air districts
 - ▶ Development of a new reporting tool, but use existing tools where possible
 - ▶ Key goal includes avoiding duplicative reporting to CARB and air districts
 - ▶ Emissions data will likely be available through CARB's AB 197 Pollution Mapping Tool
- ▶ Facilities with “elevated” AB 2588 Toxics Hot Spots Act priority scores must report
 - ▶ Methodology to calculate priority scores also vary across air districts
 - ▶ No definition of “elevated”

Current SCAQMD Approach

- ▶ Close Coordination with CARB
 - ▶ High level meetings and ongoing staff Working Groups for each topic area
 - ▶ Funding, roles, outreach, timing, guidance documents, clearing house, etc.
- ▶ Utilize existing SCAQMD resources and experience to the maximum extent possible
- ▶ Continue to assess expected workload and resource needs
- ▶ Access funding ASAP for timely implementation
- ▶ Early action successes make case for continued funding
- ▶ Governing Board approved 36.5 FTEs and equipment purchases in January
- ▶ Need to begin hiring process and start work immediately